```
1
2
3
 4
5
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                          DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6
 7
8
  ANDRE SMITH-LOVEJOY,
                                         3:12-cv-00213-ECR-WGC
9
       Plaintiff,
                                         Order
10
  vs.
11
  ELDORADO HOTEL & CASINO; HOTEL
  MANAGER,
12
       Defendants.
13
14
15
       On April 20, 2012, the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and
16
  Recommendation (#3) recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to
17
   Proceed in forma pauperis (#1) be denied and that Plaintiff's
18
   Complaint (#1-1) be dismissed with prejudice. No objections were
19
  filed.
20
       The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is well taken
21
  and approved. Plaintiff's application indicates that he is able to
22
   afford the $350.00 filing fee, and, in any event, Plaintiff has
23
   proceeded to file the Complaint (#6). Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion
24
   to Proceed in forma pauperis (#1) must be denied.
25
       Furthermore, the Complaint must be dismissed with prejudice. A
26
  suit brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 can only be brought against
27
   a state actor, Wood v. Ostrader, 879 F.2d 583, 587 (9th Cir. 1989),
28
```

Case 3:12-cv-00213-ECR-WGC Document 7 Filed 09/25/12 Page 2 of 2

1 and section 1983 does not provide a cause of action for violations of 2 state law. Galen v. Cty. of Los Angeles, 477 F.3d 652, 662 (9th Cir. $3 \parallel 2007$). Defendant is not a state actor, and Plaintiff does not allege 4 a constitutional violation, but violations of state law. We also 5 dismiss this suit with prejudice because we find that it is legally $6 \parallel \text{frivolous}$, as was Plaintiff's prior case that we dismissed as 7 frivolous, Smith-Lovejoy v. Physician's Mutual Insurance, 3:12-cv-8 00011-ECR-VPC. 9 10 IT IS, THEREFORE, HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's $11 \parallel \text{Report}$ and Recommendation (#3) is well taken and is **APPROVED** and 12 ADOPTED. 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to 14 Proceed in forma pauperis (#1) is **DENIED**. 15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint (#6) is 16 DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motions (##3, 4) are 17 18 **DENIED** as moot. 19 20 The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly. 21 The Clerk shall not accept any further filings in this matter. 22 23 DATED: September 25, 2012.

Edward C, Ked.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

27

25

26

28